NOT FOR PUBLICATION (Upload to www.vid.uscourts.gov)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

	)			
CHARLES JAMES WALKER,	)			
	)	Civ.	No.	1998-086
Plaintiff,	)			
	)			
v.	)			
	)			
HON. IVE A. SWAN, QUEEN TERRY,	)			
DOUGLAS SPROTTE, and MARCIA	)			
RESNIK,	)			
	)			
Defendants.	)			

\_\_\_\_\_

\_

## **MEMORANDUM**

The plaintiff in this matter, Charles Walker ["Walker" or "plaintiff"], has challenged the procedures followed and the actions taken by the several defendants during the course of the prosecution of Walker in the Territorial Court on a criminal information. As redress, Walker seeks injunctive and equitable relief against the defendants, asserting that each defendant has violated his constitutional rights and should be subject to liability pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Each defendant has filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. The plaintiff, in response, filed a motion requesting the Court to dismiss his complaint against all the defendants without prejudice.

Walker v. Swan
Civ. No. 1998-086
Memorandum
Page 2

Walker's request for injunctive relief is now moot as he has been convicted following a jury trial. Walker's request for equitable relief also is deficient. His claims against the Honorable Ive A. Swan ["Swan"], a judge of the Territorial Court who presided over Walker's criminal case which gives rise to this civil action, and Douglas Sprotte ["Sprotte"], an Assistant Attorney General and the prosecutor in the underlying criminal case, fail because both Swan and Sprotte are protected by judicial and prosecutorial immunity, respectively, for acts made within their official judicial and prosecutorial functions. See Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. 547, 554-55 (1967)(finding that judges enjoy immunity from § 1983 civil rights claims); Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 427 (1976) (holding that prosecutor enjoys absolute immunity from § 1983 liability); Bauers v. Heisel, 361 F.2d 581, 589-90 (3d Cir. 1966) (same); see also V.I. Code Ann. tit. 4, § 242 (judges are immune from civil liability).

Walker also has sued Attorney Queen Terry ["Terry"], an Assistant Territorial Public Defender who initially represented Walker in the criminal matter, and Attorney Marcia

See Government of the Virgin Islands v. Walker, Crim. No. F25/1997 (Terr. Ct.), appeal docketed as Crim. App. No. 1998-186 (D.C. App. Div.).

Walker v. Swan Civ. No. 1998-086 Memorandum Page 3

Resnik ["Resnik"], a private attorney appointed to represent Walker after Terry withdrew from the case. Although styled as a Section 1983 claim, Walker's assertions amount to a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

Walker's claims are properly addressed through his direct appeal<sup>2</sup> or through a collateral attack. See Wyatt v. Cole, 504 U.S. 158, 163-64 (1992) ("Judicial immunity apparently originated . . . as a device for discouraging collateral attacks and thereby helping to establish appellate procedures as the standard system for correcting judicial error.");

Imbler, 424 U.S. at 427 ("The ultimate fairness of the operation of the system itself could be weakened by subjecting prosecutors to § 1983 liability. Various post-trial procedures are available to determine whether an accused has received a fair trial. These procedures include the remedial powers of the trial judge, appellate review, and state and federal post-conviction collateral remedies.").

Accordingly, Walker's claims against Swan, Sprotte,

Terry, and Resnik will be dismissed. An appropriate order is

attached.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Walker's direct appeal of his criminal conviction is pending before the Appellate Division of this Court. (See supra note 1.)

Walker v. Swan Civ. No. 1998-086 Memorandum Page 4

ENTERED this 2d day of February, 2000.

FOR THE COURT:

\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_ Thomas K. Moore District Judge

ATTEST:
ORINN ARNOLD
Clerk of the Court
By:\_\_\_\_\_
Deputy Clerk

NOT FOR PUBLICATION (Upload to www.vid.uscourts.gov) IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN CHARLES JAMES WALKER, Plaintiff, Civ. No. 1998-086 v. HON. IVE A. SWAN, QUEEN TERRY, DOUGLAS SPROTTE, and MARCIA RESNIK, Defendants. ORDER For the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum of even date, it is hereby ORDERED that the plaintiff's claims against the Honorable Ive A. Swan are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**; ORDERED that the plaintiff's claims against Assistant Attorney General Douglas Sprotte are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE; ORDERED that the plaintiff's claims against Assistant Territorial Public Defender Queen E. Terry and Attorney Marcia

ORDERED that the Clerk shall CLOSE this file.

ENTERED this 2d day of February, 2000.

Resnik are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. It is further

FOR THE COURT:

/s/_	
------	--

Thomas K. Moore District Judge

Walker v. Swan Civ. No. 1998-086 Order Page 2

## ATTEST:

ORINN ARNOLD Clerk of the Court

By:\_\_\_\_\_\_
Deputy Clerk

## Copies to:

Hon. G.W. Barnard
Mrs. Jackson
Charles James Walker,
Leon Kendall, General Legal Counsel, Territorial Court,
St. Thomas, VI
Alva A. Swan, Deputy Attorney General, St. Thomas, VI
Jeffrey B.C. Moorhead, Esq., St. Croix, VI
Treston E. Moore, Esq., St. Thomas, VI
J. Dimmick
Order book